

# SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Franchise Tax Board

Author: Mountjoy Analyst: Kristina E. North Bill Number: AB 2072

Related Bills: See Prior Analyses Telephone: 845-6978 Amended Date: June 25, 2002

Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT:** Open Meetings/Authorizes State Bodies To Hold Closed Sessions Regarding Security

DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended \_\_\_\_\_.

AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.

AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended \_\_\_\_\_.

FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.

DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO \_\_\_\_\_.

REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED MAY 14, 2002, STILL APPLIES.

OTHER - See comments below.

## SUMMARY

This bill would allow a state body to hold closed session meetings to discuss threats or potential threats of criminal activity.

## SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT

The June 25, 2002, amendments specify that:

- ◆ after a closed session meeting the state body must reconvene and report that a closed session was held to discuss threats or potential threats; and
- ◆ the closed session provisions to discuss threats or potential threats are effective until January 1, 2006.

## POSITION

Pending.

Board Position:

\_\_\_\_\_ S      \_\_\_\_\_ NA      \_\_\_\_\_ NP  
\_\_\_\_\_ SA      \_\_\_\_\_ O      \_\_\_\_\_ NAR  
\_\_\_\_\_ N      \_\_\_\_\_ OUA      \_\_\_\_\_ **X** PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Brian Putler

7/12/02

### TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

This bill would repeal the amended section and add the same section of law, absent the amended provision, on January 1, 2006, resulting in a one-day operative date overlap of the two sections.

Additionally, the repeal states the existing statute could be extended if a statute is enacted before January 1, **1996**, that deletes or extends the January 1, 2006 date. If this date is corrected, and the amended statute is extended before that date, the effect on the section to be added by this bill is unclear.

The author's office has indicated its intent to amend the bill to resolve these concerns.

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT**

This bill would not impact the state's income tax revenue.

### **LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT**

Kristina E. North  
Franchise Tax Board  
845-6978  
[Kristina.North@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:Kristina.North@ftb.ca.gov)

Brian Putler  
Franchise Tax Board  
845-6333  
[Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov](mailto:Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov)